

In re Application of:
Andrew P. Feinberg
Application No.: 10/629,318
Filed: July 28, 2003
Page 2 of 3

PATENT
Atty Docket No.: JHU1900-1

REMARKS

The Office Communication mailed July 10, 2006 alleges that “the reply [to the Restriction Requirement] filed on 26 April 2006 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicant failed to identify the claims readable on the elected species as was required by the Election/Restriction mailed 27 March 2006.” Office Communication at 2.

Responsive to the Communication, Applicants submit that the elected species reads directly on claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 19, and 20. However, applicants submit that generic claims 1 and 10 are allowable and therefore consideration and rejoinder of claims reciting non-elected species according to 37 C.F.R. §1.141 is respectfully requested.

In re Application of:
Andrew P. Feinberg
Application No.: 10/629,318
Filed: July 28, 2003
Page 3 of 3

PATENT
Atty Docket No.: JHU1900-1

No fee is deemed necessary with the filing of this paper. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees that are required, or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 07-1896 referencing the above-identified attorney docket number. A copy of the Transmittal Sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,



Jane K. Babin, J.D., Ph.D.
Registration No.: 47,224
Telephone: (858) 638-6638
Facsimile: (858) 677-1465

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP.
4365 Executive Drive, Suite 1100
San Diego, California 92121-2133
USPTO Customer No.: 28213